

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

CHRISTOPHER J. BROCK

**Criminal No. 13- 566 (DMC)
Hon. Dennis M. Cavanaugh, U.S.D.J.**

**CONSENT JUDGMENT AND
ORDER OF FORFEITURE
(MONEY JUDGMENT)**

WHEREAS, on or about September 4, 2013, defendant Christopher J. Brock, pursuant to a plea agreement with the United States, pled guilty to an Information charging him, in one count, with committing wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), a person convicted of an offense constituting a specified unlawful activity, as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), or a conspiracy to commit such an offense, shall forfeit to the United States all property, real and personal, that constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the commission of such offense; and

WHEREAS the property subject to forfeiture by defendant Christopher J. Brock consists of a sum of money equal to \$450,000.00, representing the property, real or personal, that constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the offense charged in the Information; and

WHEREAS if, by any act or omission of the defendant, any property subject to forfeiture:

- (A) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- (B) has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
- (C) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
- (D) has been substantially diminished in value; or
- (E) has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty,

it is the intent of the United States to seek forfeiture of any other property of the defendant up to the value of the property subject to forfeiture pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(p), as incorporated by and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c); and

WHEREAS Rule 32.2(c)(1) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure provides that no ancillary proceeding is required to the extent that the forfeiture consists of a money judgment; and

WHEREAS Rule 32.2(b)(4)(A) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure provides that a preliminary order of forfeiture, including a preliminary order of forfeiture consisting of a money judgment, may become final at any time before sentencing if the defendant consents; and

WHEREAS defendant Christopher J. Brock:

(1) Consents to the forfeiture to the United States of \$450,000.00 as a sum of money representing property, real or personal, that constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the offense of wire fraud charged in the Information, to which defendant Christopher J. Brock has pleaded guilty; and

(2) Consents to the imposition of a money judgment in the amount of \$450,000.00 (the "Money Judgment") pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), and agrees that this Order is final at the time of its entry by the Court, pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(4)(A) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure; and

(3) Agrees to consent promptly upon request to the entry of any orders deemed necessary by the government or the Court to complete the forfeiture and disposition of property forfeited to satisfy the Money Judgment; and

(4) Waives the requirements of Rules 32.2 and 43(a) of the *Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure* regarding notice of forfeiture in the charging instrument, announcement of the forfeiture in the defendant's presence at sentencing, and incorporation of the forfeiture in the Judgment of Conviction; and

(5) Acknowledges that he understands that forfeiture of property will be part of the sentence imposed upon him in this case and waives any

failure by the Court to advise him of this, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(b)(1)(J), during the plea hearing; and

(6) Waives, and agrees to hold the United States and its agents and employees harmless from, any and all claims whatsoever in connection with the seizure, forfeiture, and disposal of the property described above; and

(7) Waives all constitutional and statutory challenges of any kind to any forfeiture carried out pursuant to this Consent Judgment; and

WHEREAS good and sufficient cause has been shown,

It is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:**

THAT, as a result of the offenses to which defendant Christopher J. Brock has pleaded guilty, the defendant shall forfeit to the United States the sum of \$450,000.00, and a money judgment in the amount of \$450,000.00 (the "Money Judgment") is therefore hereby entered against the defendant pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) and Rule 32.2(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure; and it is further

ORDERED that, the defendant having given his consent pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(4)(A) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, upon entry of this Consent Judgment and Order of Forfeiture, this Order is final as to the defendant Christopher J. Brock, shall be deemed part of the sentence of the defendant, and shall be included in the judgment of conviction therewith; and it is further


ORDERED that all payments on the Money Judgment shall be made by postal money order or bank or certified check, made payable to the United States Marshals Service, and delivered by mail to the United States Attorney's Office, District of New Jersey, Attn: Asset Forfeiture and Money Laundering Unit, 970 Broad Street, 7th Floor, Newark, New Jersey 07102, and shall indicate the defendant's name and case number; and it is further

ORDERED that upon execution of this Consent Judgment and Order of Forfeiture, and pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853, the United States Marshals Service shall be authorized to deposit the payments on the Money Judgment in the Department of Justice Asset Forfeiture Fund, and the United States shall have clear title to such forfeited property; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, upon entry of this Order, the United States Attorney's Office is authorized to conduct any discovery needed to identify, locate, or dispose of forfeitable property, including depositions, interrogatories, requests for production of documents, and issuance of subpoenas; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce this Order, and to amend it as necessary, pursuant to Rule 32.2(e) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

ORDERED this 6th day of JANUARY, 2013⁴.


HONORABLE DENNIS M. CAVANAUGH
United States District Judge

The undersigned hereby consent to the entry and form of this order:

PAUL J. FISHMAN
United States Attorney



By: DANIEL V. SHAPIRO
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: 11/4/13



ROBERT L. JOHNSTON, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant Christopher J. Brock

Dated:


DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER J. BROCK

Dated: 11/15/13

RECEIVED JUL 30 1966

2023-11-11 2:26

Figure 1 consists of 12 line graphs arranged in a 4x3 grid. Each graph plots 'Correct responses' (y-axis, 0 to 100) against 'Condition' (x-axis, 1 to 12). The graphs are labeled as follows:

- Top row: 'Control' (left), 'Experimental' (middle), 'Control' (right).
- Middle row: 'Control' (left), 'Experimental' (middle), 'Control' (right).
- Bottom row: 'Control' (left), 'Experimental' (middle), 'Control' (right).

The graphs show that the experimental group generally performs better than the control group across most conditions. The control group's performance is relatively stable, while the experimental group's performance varies significantly, often showing a peak in condition 10 and a dip in condition 11.